

### Government contributions towards Provident Funds.

\*Q.—253. Sri P. F. D' MELLOW (Nominated).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that teachers in certain private schools in Bangalore City have not yet received the Government contributions to their existing Provident Funds made to their accounts since the year 1961-62 and that no similar contributions have been made for the succeeding years 1962-63, 1963-64 and 1964-65 ;

(b) when these contributions due from Government are expected to be paid ;

(c) whether Government is aware of the unnecessary hardship that results from such inordinate delays in the matter of loss of interest on accounts of these teachers in their post office Savings Bank accounts ;

(d) what steps Government proposes to take to compensate these teachers against such loss ?

A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Existing rules do not permit the payment. The matter is under examination.

(c) and (d) Do not arise in view of answer to clause (b) above.

Sri P. F. D'MELLOW.—What is the position of those teachers who have already been admitted to the Provident Fund and whose benefits are being held up because of subsequent rules?

†Sri S. R. KANTHI.—This question is a little bit not clear. It appears that the Hon. Member is asking this question in respect of Anglo-Indian Schools, and not the European schools. Am I right ?

Sri P. F. D'MELLOW.—Yes, Sir.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—In respect of the Anglo-Indian schools, the guarantee given under the Constitution expired in 1960. Afterwards proposals for the Provident Fund which should be received by the D.P.I.'s Office in the prescribed form, have not been received. I do not know why they have not been received. That is why they have not been considered. Secondly, there is difference between those teacher, who have been admitted to the Provident Fund before 1960 and those teachers who are to be admitted to the Provident Fund after 1961-62. These two points, the Government are considering. I only request my Hon. friend to see that the necessary information is supplied to the D.P.I.'s Office and the Government expeditiously in the prescribed form.

Sri P. F. D'MELLOW.—My information is that from the year 1961-62, since these contributions have been stopped, schools are nevertheless sending all the particulars year after year, and as late as

(SRI P. F. D'MELLOW)

December 1965, the D.P.I. was again requested to state why those contributions were not received ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The D.P.I.'s Office say that they have not received them in the prescribed form.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They want the particulars in the prescribed form. The hon. Member may please ascertain whether what has been sent, is sent in the prescribed form. If so, he may kindly write a letter to the Hon. Minister. He is anxious to look into it expeditiously.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—In this connection, I wish to tell my Hon. friend that there seems to be some confusion about this. There are two things about the Anglo-Indian Schools and other schools, and about cases coming before 1960 and cases coming after 1961-62. Since there are two kinds of things, we have to consider on two different basis.

Sri P. F. D'MELLOW.—I accept the Hon. Minister's assurance and I shall certainly help. The D.P.I. has not intimated to the schools of information being furnished in incorrect form. Why I put question (d) is that I am aware that there is growing discontent among the teachers. That is why I am bringing it to the notice of the Government on the Floor of this House.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I am grateful to my hon. friend. But the only thing I want is that he must see that the particulars are furnished in the prescribed form so that we may set right the matter.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—1960-61 ರಿಂದೀಚೆಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳಿಗೆ ತಾಪ್ತ ಪ್ರಾವಿಡೆಂಟ್ ಫಂಡ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ? ಇಲ್ಲವಾದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The question does not refer to other schools. The other private schools are getting their contributions towards Provident Fund.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—The question does not read as if it pertains only to Anglo-Indian schools.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister has replied by saying that with regard to schools other than the Anglo-Indian schools, provident fund is arranged.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—It is not given. That is the point.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—If my Hon'ble friend brings such cases to my notice, certainly I will look into them.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸಂಬಳ ಹಾಗೂ ಇತರ ಭತ್ಯೆಗಳ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಾವಿಡೆಂಟ್ ಫಂಡ್ ಹಿನ್ನೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರುಗಳ ಸಂಬಳದ ವತಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ಹೆಜ್ಜೆ ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಒಂದು ಮಾತು ಹೇಳಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರೇತರ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಸಂಬಳದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರೇತರ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಂಬಳ ನಿಶ್ಚಯಿಸಿ, ಅಥವಾ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕಮ್ಮಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಎಲ್ಲೆಯಾದರೂ ಅಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳು ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ನೋಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನೂರ್ಮನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—1961-62ರಿಂದ ಈ ಟ್ರಿಪಲ್ ಬೆನಿಫಿಟ್ ಫೌಂಡೇಷನ್ ಫಂಡ್ ಸ್ವೀಮನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲ, ಅದು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತವಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ವಿಡೆಡ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಫಾರಮ್‌ಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾನಾ ತರಹ ತೊಂದರೆಗಳು ಕಷ್ಟಗಳು ಇವೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತೆ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಒಂದೆರಡು ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲೇ ಮೀಟಿಂಗ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ನಾಳೆ ಎರಾ ಡಿ. ಇ. ಒ. ಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ನಂತರ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಕಾರ್ಲೇಜುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗ ತಪ್ಪುತಿಳಿವಳಿಕೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಇನ್‌ಟ್ರಿಗ್‌ಟ್ ಏರಿ ಯಾದ ಕಾರ್ಲೇಜುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಫೌಂಡೇಷನ್ ಫಂಡ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಮ್ ಇದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವರು 20 ಪರ್‌ಸೆಂಟ್ ಕಾನ್‌ಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈನೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ಪ್ರಾವಿಡೆಂಟ್ ಫಂಡ್ ಕಾನ್‌ಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಹೊಸ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್-ಇನ್-ಎಯ್ಡ್ ಕೋಡ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅವರು ಆರೂಕಾಲು ಪರ್‌ಸೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು 70 ಪರ್‌ಸೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನೂರ್ಮನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್-ಇನ್-ಎಯ್ಡ್ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲ, ಅದು ಕಾರ್ಲೇಜುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಕಾರ್ಲೇಜುಗಳಿಗೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾವಿಡೆಂಟ್ ಕೂಡ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇನ್‌ಟ್ರಿಗ್‌ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅದು ಕಾರ್ಲೇಜಿಗೂ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—In reply to (b), it is said that existing rules do not permit the payment. Then may I know the idea with which the teachers are asked to contribute to the Provident Fund ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—This is only in respect of the European Schools. After all, rules have to be framed.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The answer to (b) does not seem to be all right. The question in (b) is : when these contributions due from Government are expected to be paid ? The Department should have intimated the School authorities.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—They are aware of it.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—They have contributed, and interest is not accruing year after year ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I have already replied. Cases coming before 1960 have been dealt with on a certain basis, and the cases coming after 1961-62 have to be dealt with otherwise.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Supposing the Private Managements do not come forward with their share, what are the rules governing to compel them to contribute ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—That is what I say. The prescribed form has got all these columns and the information required therein has to be supplied. If the Management has not given or the Department has not contributed, the question does not arise at all.